

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/777,292	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCCABE, HARRY	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher S. McCarthy	<b>Art Unit</b> 2113	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Condition for Allowance

(1) Christopher S. McCarthy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel Golub, Reg. # 33,701.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible USC 112*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 15 cited the language "the" without proper antecedence; an examiner's amendment has been provided to solve the issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)